

Village of Shawnee Hills Council Meeting Minutes

April 13, 2015

Mayor Monahan called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Council in Attendance: Charlie Callender, Mahesh Dalvi, James Gauldin, Dan Mathews, Mike McVan and Josh Vidor

Also Present: Administrator Ed Swanson

Absent: Fiscal Officer Shirley Roskoski and Solicitor Brian Zets

Minutes

It was moved by McVan, seconded by Callender to approve the minutes from March 23, 2015. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea; Callender, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Abstain, one; Dalvi. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote.

Agenda

It was moved by Gauldin, seconded by Vidor to approve the agenda. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote.

Village Administrator

Ed Swanson said he talked to Steve Lowe who is in charge of the Land Stewardship for Columbus and he has asked for some more information. Mahesh and I will be working on getting that information together.

Tomorrow night the UST committee is meeting and we will be discussing the road repairs for this year.

Ed said he addressed a couple of drainage issues. Jim brought one of them up to me and I had Chris Tebbe out to look at a couple of them and they will be resolved.

Ed said there is an ordinance on the agenda tonight in regards to the gas aggregate program that Jay talked about at the last meeting. Ed said he thinks this is a good deal because it gives anyone who wants to participate a better natural gas price and they can opt out at any time they want to at no charge.

Ed said he met with Chris Tebbe on the parking lot for the municipal building and the drawing you have in front of you is our parking lot and our neighbors parking lot to the south of us and we are going to combine them and they are going to pay for their portion and we will pay for ours with the idea being that we can use their parking spaces and they can use ours. Chris is going to draw up an easement so that we will be protected and never be squeezed out or prohibited from doing what that drawing allows us to do. This will go out for bid next week.

Police Chief Report

Chief LeFever said he had an update on something that just developed. Sergeant Cullen is down on Osage right now. Apparently a pole went down and hit a gas line so it is shooting out about 3000 psi worth of gas. The fire department is down there right now and they have the gas off but we are going to shut down Osage.

Chief LeFever said what he wanted to address Council about tonight is some of the legislation that's up for a third reading this evening on the benefits and/or pay raises for the full time employees in the village. He has had an opportunity to talk to some of the council members in regards to their opinions and kind of where you stand on it. Just a couple of things I wanted to bring up. I think one of the important things to think about as you look at this legislation is the longevity. Basically what I think, to keep in the back of your heads here, is that by being a little more competitive in the pay department I think would keep better people longer and we are keeping that experience in the village. These people have been around for years including myself and you can't really put a price on that. I also think you will attract better and more qualified people in the future.

He said some of the things that were floating around were the comps with other areas. With that being said for every comp that would be in favor of the pay raise there would probably be one that's not in favor. We don't expect, and this portion of what I have to say, I am speaking for the police department. We don't expect to make what Powell or Dublin or Delaware County makes. We understand we are Shawnee Hills but that being said we do the same job. So if an accident happens on one corner of Dublin and Glick Road it's us handling it, if it happens on the other corner it's Dublin. The difference is their pay is substantially different. We also understand that we don't have the budget here in Shawnee Hills to pay what they pay but it's just something to keep in the back of your mind.

At the last council meeting one of the things that I provided you was an expanded view of our statistics. Something a little more detailed than I normally give council that broke down what we do and I hope that that showed you that we are very active not only in the community on the things that you do see but we are not just sitting in a car running around or waving at residents when we drive by although we do both of those things. We are doing real police work and we ask that you keep that in mind.

With all that being said I think the biggest differences are not found in comps, we can go back and forth on comparing comps all we want. I think the first is in our job descriptions and our job duties. Take Mark for example. Mark handles code enforcement, handles maintenance, he's kind of a catch all for all the little things that go on around the village. Shirley is the same way. Her title is fiscal officer but she is an administrative assistant, she's a secretary, she's the face that people see when they come into the village and she really is kind of a jack of all trades here in the office so she does a little bit of everything.

For us, as the police we frequently find ourselves doing things and working on projects that are outside of the police or safety box as well and I just think that overall we are very unique because all of us wear so many hats and we do so many different things and I think overall when we talk about the five people we are talking about, Ed would be the exception to this because he is not included in this because he is not full time, but I would include Ed in this portion of my statement. We are the backbone of the village. We are the people that run it every day and the Mayor of course but we already know you are underpaid. We are the people that when people encounter representatives of the village, unless they come to a council meeting, they are most likely encountering your full time people and that's who they are seeing.

The second difference, on top of the job duties and multiple responsibilities, I think it's best to paraphrasing the words of council and I probably heard each of you say something like this and I have heard it many times on record, that we are very proud of the fact that we i.e. Shawnee Hills is different than other communities our size. We are unique.

We constantly talk about how personable and family oriented our village is. Those types of things are what we pride ourselves in. My ask is how can you really compare us to others and I say us as the full timers if we boast about being different. Can we claim we are a better place to live and raise a family than a lot of the other places out there than shouldn't we extend the same principles to the people that operate the village every day.

A couple of people I talked to had some concerns about the three year part of this legislation about the fifty cents each year for the next three years or whatever and maybe that felt a little, quote on quote, contracted. I understand that and what I would ask that you do when you think about moving forward and whether it's two percent pay raises, whether it's fifty cents over three years or you take that totally out, really doesn't matter. All I ask is that council is objective, talk about this stuff, because at the second reading nobody talked about anything, there were no questions, there was nothing discussed in council and rather than shooting something down I would much rather council talk about it and work through it and answer the questions and if there is something that needs to be modified or changed than do so but just don't shoot the whole thing down because of one problem with one thing in it.

Lastly, what I would like to point out is the total amount of the proposed resolutions is about \$10,400.00 for the year 2015. All these numbers are approximate but they are pretty close. You also have to consider that five of the people affected by this change would be receiving a two percent pay increase this year if we continue to do what we have done in the past. With that being said those two percent raises would account for thirty two cents for Mark, thirty four cents for Lieutenant Baron, thirty four cents for Sergeant Cullen, thirty seven cents for Shirley and forty four cents for me this year. If you subtract those two percent's off of what we are asking for for the 2015 year that's a difference of \$6,600.00. So that's basically what we are talking about here, \$6,600.00.

So in closing I would ask council to support their full time workers. Show us that you understand as council that your decisions don't stop here when you vote tonight but that they affect the people that work here and live here. Most importantly I ask that you reaffirm your trust and your appreciation to the staff and send the right message that we are worth more than a \$6,600.00 line item.

Mayor Monahan said that there has been more research done on this than anything I have ever seen and I mean that as a positive.

Council member Dalvi said when it comes to the reading; I want to walk Council through the thought process of Finance.

Council member Callender said he would like to make a comment to the Chief and all the full timers. Whatever way I vote in no way shape or form diminishes the job that you guys do. I appreciate it but I have to look at other things as far as finance. You brought up a very good point and going through all the things that have been said to me and stuff and I am going to listen more this evening before I make my decision but I just wanted to say whatever I vote is no way personal or directed to the job you guys do. It is more looking out for the village.

Council member Dalvi said he would like to talk about a few things that he was not able to last time. Like the Mayor said a lot of fact checking has happened, independently, on this one so we will mention that.

Mayor's Report

Mayor Monahan gave the following report:

MARCH 2015 MAYOR'S COURT REPORT

RECEIPTS

\$3,061.00	Total receipts
Disbursements	
\$487.50	Treasurer, State of Ohio
\$19.50	HB 562 IDAT Fund
\$2,424.00	General Fund
\$130.00	Computer Fund

Erik Spaulding
Mayor's Court Clerk

I believe we all received the March and 1 Q Police statistics. Thanks Chief great job!

Please make sure we are moving forward with the Bridge Park project and please keep the Columbus stewardship separate from the development of the property within Shawnee Hills.

Please send any articles you have for the Newsletter to me CC Shirley by noon this Wed April 15th.

Planning and Zoning will meet next Monday evening to also review Ordinance 12-2015 prior to the third reading.

I have requested an update status of Mark's progress on reviewing our code be available to you and me by last Friday. I have been missing some emails so I asked Mark to resend it to me and to include you. I wanted it so we could review it to make sure we understand where he is/we are on this difficult task. I don't want you receiving it right before the Council meeting (allowing time to review). I have asked we receive this the Friday before the Council mtg. This is a tough task and we have had over the past two and a half months a unique opportunity and I want to make sure we capitalize on it.

Pro Tem Report

Pro Tem Mathews said the he and Pat met with Terry Herzog yesterday. She had a really nice drawing and gave us a couple of different options and we will have to do it in phases but she is going to kind of give us recommendations on what types of plants,. She Okayed the areas where we thought lamp posts would be a good addition. There is a lot that would be dependent on if we get the bathroom grant.

He said the developer that has been interested in the land on the northwest side of the village, the realtor contacted him today and knew we had a council meeting tonight and that this would be the second reading for the PUD legislation and they are very interested on how that would go. I

think it is doing what it was supposed to do, making it easier for developers to be creative and come up with something.

Pro Tem Mathews said at this time, after meeting with Terry Herzog, I move to allocate the funds from the TIF 1 account for the two lamps at the playground. Mark received an estimate yesterday of \$11,500.00 and we have roughly \$12,000.00 in the TIF account. The motion was seconded by Dalvi. Council member Gauldin said as far as finance goes we had a couple of things that we were going to pull out of that account, has that been all accounted for. Council member Dalvi said I thought this was one of the things we said was a safety issues for the park. He said we are not doing the benches, just the lights. Council member Gauldin said we had talked about certain things that were going to come out of this fund but I can't recall how much was left over. Council member Dalvi said I thought the first priority from this fund was the safety for the park because of the light issue. Council member Callender asked if they thought they would go over the estimate of \$11,500.00. Pro Tem Mathews said that was actually with, and I didn't physically see the quote, Mark recommended that when they bore for the electric that they bore for a pavilion/gazebo at the same time. Mayor Monahan said they are coming in this week to bore so maybe we can get them to go ahead and do this while they are here. Council member Dalvi said I think that is a good point because we exceeded the cost of the streetlights. Mayor Monahan said we had the electrical outlets added on which we should have probably done to begin with.

Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote.

Mayor Monahan said that Council member Gauldin had asked as the UST committee where we would put that gazebo/pavilion and where Terry had it designed we kind of thought a gazebo would have more significance so as the sidewalk comes around and bends, right in front of the inside of that she thought would be a great location for a gazebo.

Legislative Actions

Mayor Monahan said we talked about this and is it our understanding that whatever we pass it is based on being effective March the ninth of this year. Shirley said that was the start of a pay period and I don't read that in here and I just want to make sure that is what everybody understood. Council member Dalvi said we said it would be effective when the two percent raise would be effective. Council member Mathews said that changes for everybody. Council member Dalvi said the budgetary numbers that have been shown takes that start date into consideration.

Third Reading- Resolution 06-2015- Sponsored by the Finance Committee – A Resolution repealing Resolution 04-2014 and establishing a new rate of pay for Mark Katzenbach.

Council member Gauldin said he would bring something up. I continue to question the fifty cent raises over the next couple of years. I have no problem with the dollar increase right now if I can speak broadly on any of these but I am not ready to go out multiple years to do increases when I can do them when they come up in council and I can evaluate my financial situation at that time. Council member Dalvi said let me just step back and give you the reasoning of why we did that. If you look at the history of how we have done it, every year we give two percent raises and this has happened since I have been on the council, even before this whole thing and at least for ten years. Part of the reason we started saying two or three years back is that the two percent raises are not going to be something that is going to be sustainable so we knew that. That was one thing, the other thing we knew, why we did this was there needed to be some kind of pay parity

in terms of what we pay our fulltime, so that was the other consideration. The third thing was we needed to break the cycle of these two percent pay raises and saying why are we doing this every year and what is the process. Who would be doing it as part of this raise? The fourth one was to project it out a couple of years and see if whatever compensation plan we are putting out there how it would affect from a budget perspective looking at the worst case scenario and the best case scenario. We did that so to your point, let me address the first thing. We have done a whole lot of study, or at least I have done and I have seen a lot of studies in terms of what the pays are at an average and I can be very comfortable looking at data and in fact I actually went to an accountant who helps cops in the city to do their taxes to see what they are doing. I think in this case if I look at all the data available I think we are okay and if someone comes in and says what's the pay parity and all that stuff. He said I think we want to do this, if people agree with the one dollar raise, and if there is any concern from a budget or revenue perspective I think we are okay. The whole idea of doing the three years was that we would know what our allocation would be and we did not have to worry every year. We could be sitting here next year and having a whole lot of debate for three months whether its fifty cents or forty five cents or thirty cents. Council member Callender said here is my problem with that argument. My seat is up this year. What gives me the right to vote for something for next year when I might not be in this seat. It should be whoever is in this seat the right to decide and that is what government is all about. We are not talking about private industry where they give contracts. Unions get three year contracts and stuff. Council member Dalvi said I understand that and I think there is a misconception, it looks like a contract but it definitely wasn't done with that intention. The intention was that if I am saying you are at level x and you have to go to x plus y I cannot go and do plus y in one year. For me to go from x plus y I have to spread that plus y over a period of time and we thought the best way to do that is over a three year period. That was the intent. Council member McVan said I do not think anyone has a problem with giving them a raise. I don't think that is the issue at all. The issue is when you tell someone I am going to do this for you for the next two or three years what is their motivation for job performance because they know they are already getting the money. It's like giving them everything they want, there's no motivational factor there. If you want it based on job performance like you are saying that's where you want to get to. Council member Dalvi said if that's the situation then obviously if performance is the big issue I think there are tools today that the administration has to say you know what it is no longer applicable, it's not there. I think there are tools the administration has to fix it. I am just saying the finance committee looked from a financial perspective and said if we all agree on two dollars then you cannot give that all in one year. That would be ridiculous. That would be saying I gave them a fifteen percent raise or something. So that's why we spread it out over three years. Council member McVan said I don't think anyone is disagreeing with the three year plan but you can't guarantee up front. Council member Mathews said here's my question, if we said okay we won't do fifty cent and fifty cent are we prepared based on how we are trending as a village to come back and say alright we gave a dollar here but we built eighteen new houses and we added thirty houses back here and Cedarbrook is done and they have x amount more work to do we need to give them two dollars more an hour next year. Council member Callender said if that's the way it turns out next year then whoever sits in this seat or any seat can make that decision. Council member Mathews said his point would be because of how we have projected and grown I would say we give them two dollars this year then. Council member Dalvi said you have to understand that the pay raise has to align with the financials so we can't just suddenly say two dollars. Council member Mathews said but you get what I am saying. Council member McVan

said next year something catastrophic could happen. Council member Dalvi said the administration could say you know what the language says this is what has been proposed one dollar now and then fifty cents and fifty cents. Nothing says that it has to be given. Council member McVan said then why say it.

Mayor Monahan said the only thing I would ask is we have spent probably a good seven meetings a lot of hours on this and if you are uncomfortable with that and we are down to the third reading, what I would ask is do you want to amend it to say if the performance isn't there we can hold that fifty cents back. Council member McVan said to Dan's point I don't have a problem giving them a bigger raise down the road if it's there. Council member Dalvi said we can always amend this next year. Council member McVan said why don't we just do the dollar per hour and then next year we look at the finances and say okay. Why short them when in reality they could earn more next year. Council member Dalvi said part of the reason we gave them this raise was our commitment to them to say we as a council keep them. This was a retention strategy. It is not just one thing, a lot of thought has gone into this and the three years was to spread out the income and to make sure there was retention going on. So tomorrow if none of us are here and people say no raises what's going to happen.

Mayor Monahan said finance has done a lot of work on this and a lot of us have done work on this. If you want to add a line that if the raise wasn't deserved it could be held back that might solve things. Council member Dalvi said I would agree with that but I don't want to change this. The finance committee met, Jim was there, we said we know our funding; we know what our revenue projections are so this is what we are doing. Council member McVan said I don't think anyone is disagreeing with the rates: that's not the issue. You are saying the finance committee has done so much work on this, you're on the finance committee, he is on the finance committee, you guys are not agreeing, that's what scares me. Council member Dalvi said Dan is also on the finance committee. Mayor Monahan said I see your point but one of the problems we have is the fact that during the meetings that objection did not come up at that time. This is designed to bring parity. If you want to make an amendment for these five to say that if the raise wasn't deserved it could be held back. Council member Dalvi said that is fine, here's what I want you guys to agree on. If you agree that yes there is a parity issue, yes that we are able to absorb this which I believe the financials say that, yes some of you are okay to say one dollar and it's just fifty cents because if you say it will be a two percent raise next year it's forty cents anyway if you follow the trend so it's a moot point. Council member McVan said I think we are having the same argument and I think we both want to get to the same point; it's just how we are getting there. When you give somebody everything up front they know it's coming, it kind of defeats the purpose. Mayor Monahan said we can amend this to say we have the right to hold this back and we make a motion to modify this. Council member McVan said that all we are trying to do to put a safety net on it. Council member Gauldin said that may be your point but my point is I can vote fifty cents for the next two years this year or I can vote fifty cents next year, what's the difference. Council member Vidor said I think we are trying to reach a certain number though. Council member Dalvi said I would be more than willing as a finance committee member to make a change to put a safety net in there based on some performance criteria but I am not willing to pull this resolution off. Change it if you have to to put some sort of qualifier about some performance thing or something. Council member McVan asked what would everyone feel comfortable with. Council member Callender said he would be comfortable with a raise for this year. Council member Vidor said the two percent wasn't performance based was it. Council member McVan said that is what we are trying to get. Mayor Monahan said it was but most

people don't understand everybody didn't get a two percent raise. The trouble with two percent's, and here's what I don't like about, Warren Miller is up for a half percent because he was a bad boy versus somebody who is getting three. Council member Dalvi said we can say it will be performance based following some guidelines that has been recommended but breaking the two percent thing, I don't want to do that. Council member McVan said at the end of this three year plan that is where you are getting anyway right, getting to a performance based system. Council member Dalvi said that was the intent, I forgot to say that. Council member Callender said so you are saying after this year it will be performance based. Council member Dalvi said it will always be performance based, even next year, even the fifty cents. Mayor Monahan said the idea was to bring it up, to have a known factor but again what I don't want to do is spend this much time each year on this subject. Council member Dalvi said most companies give a certain amount of budget saying you have ten thousand dollars to spread out to your employees and it is up to you to figure out who gets what. What we are doing by giving that one dollar and that fifty cents and fifty cents is giving that guidance, giving that budgetary allocation to use to do that. It is up to the Mayor. Council member Callender said there is the private sector and there is government and there is a difference. Council member Dalvi said I understand that. What I am trying to say is the best practices to a certain extent is having some discipline to say that yes this is a guidance because what was the guidance before, two percent. What did that mean, every year two percent? Now we are giving some guidance, we are tackling the parity issue, we are breaking the cycle, we are doing it as a retention strategy, we are appreciating what these folks have done for us for all these years. We are giving the road map, aligning with our revenue. Council member McVan said I don't think anyone disagrees with giving them a better pay system. That's totally not the issue here at all. We are not arguing the pay raise. Council member Dalvi said if you are okay with this we will amend it to add the line about the next two years fifty cents increase would be performance based. Council member Mathews said are we saying the fifty cents will be performance based or that future raises are based on performance. Mayor Monahan said raises beyond this year will be based on performance. We are saying that the fifty cents could be held back if someone doesn't perform. Council member Gauldin said that is fine with me. Council member McVan said if one of your officers does something drastic would you feel justified giving him an automatic fifty cent raise if he really did something that you didn't think he deserved that. Chief LeFever said no I understand. Mayor Monahan said I think we need a motion that says raises beyond this year will be performance based. Council member Dalvi moved to make an amendment to Resolution 06-2015, 07-2015, 08-2015, 09-2015 and 10-2015 to base the increment in 2016 and 2017 as proposed based on performance review. Seconded by Callender. Mayor Monahan said we need a vote and let's take Resolution 06 and we are going to go through the amendments first. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote for 06. We have the same amendment being proposed for Resolution 07. Moved by Gauldin, seconded by Callender. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote for 07. Mayor Monahan said for Resolution 08. Moved by Gauldin, seconded by Callender. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote for 08. Mayor Monahan said for 09. Moved by Gauldin, seconded by Callender. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a

6-0 vote for 09. Mayor Monahan said for Resolution 10. Moved by Gauldin, seconded by Callender. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote for 10.

Third Reading Resolution 06-2015- Sponsored by the Finance Committee - An amended Resolution repealing Resolution 04-2014 and establishing a new rate of pay for Mark Katzenbach. It was moved by Dalvi, seconded by Callender to adopt the amended Resolution 06-2015. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared Resolution 06-2015 adopted by a 6-0 vote.

Third Reading- Resolution 07-2015- Sponsored by the Finance Committee- An amended Resolution repealing Resolution 01-2014 and establishing a new rate of pay for Shirley Roskoski. It was moved by Dalvi, seconded by Mathews to adopt amended Resolution 07-2015. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared Resolution 07-2015 adopted by a 6-0 vote

Third Reading- Resolution 08-2015 – Sponsored by the Finance Committee- An amended Resolution repealing Resolution 13-2014 and establishing a new rate of pay for Erin Cullen. It was moved by Dalvi, seconded by Gauldin to adopt amended Resolution 08-2015. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared Resolution 08-2015 adopted by a 6-0 vote.

Third Reading- Resolution 09-2015 – Sponsored by the Finance Committee- An amended Resolution repealing Resolution 18-2014 and establishing a new rate of pay for Russell Baron. It was moved by Dalvi, seconded by Gauldin to adopt the amended Resolution 09-2015. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared Resolution 09-2015 adopted by a 6-0 vote

Third Reading- Resolution 10-2015- Sponsored by the Finance Committee – An amended Resolution 10-2015 repealing Resolution 14-2014 and establishing a new rate of pay for Sean LeFever. It was moved by Dalvi, seconded by Vidor to adopt the amended Resolution 10-2015. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared Resolution 10-2015 adopted by a 6-0 vote.

Third Reading – Resolution 11-2015 – A Resolution to authorize the Administrator and Pomeroy and Associates, Ltd. to issue a competitive bid package related to the waterline improvement project for the Village of Shawnee Hills, Ohio. It was moved by Callender, seconded by McVan to adopt Resolution 11-2015. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared Resolution 11-2015 adopted by a 6-0 vote.

Second Reading- Resolution 12-2015 – A Resolution declaring September 2015 Prostate Cancer Awareness month for the Village of Shawnee Hills, Ohio.

Second Reading- Resolution 13-2015- A Resolution that the Village of Shawnee Hills host a village wide picnic late summer/early fall at the Ohio Wildlife Center.

Second Reading- Ordinance 08-2015- An Ordinance to amend Section 1313.06 (Trimming of trees and shrubbery) of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Shawnee Hills.

Second Reading- Ordinance 09-2015 – An Ordinance repealing Section 133.02 of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Shawnee Hills and creating, establishing, and adopting Section 1105.05 of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Shawnee Hills.

Second Reading- Ordinance 10-2015 – An Ordinance to grant variances to the provisions of the Shawnee Hills Code Section 509.09 to allow applicant, Bogey Inn, to deviate from time and volume standards for the Memorial Tournament.

Second Reading- Ordinance 11-2015- Sponsored by Planning and Zoning- An Ordinance repealing Section 1117.01 and Section 1117.03 of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Shawnee Hills.

Second Reading- Ordinance 12-2015- Sponsored by Planning and Zoning – An Ordinance creating a new Chapter 1117 of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Shawnee Hills, (Planned Unit Development).

First Reading- Ordinance 13-2015- An Ordinance to reappropriate funds for current expenses and other expenditures of the Village of Shawnee Hills, State of Ohio, during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015 and declaring an emergency. It was moved by Gauldin, seconded by McVan to suspend with the second and third readings and declare Ordinance 13-2015 an emergency. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote. It was moved by Gauldin, seconded by McVan to adopt Ordinance 13-2015 as an emergency. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared Ordinance 13-2015 adopted by a 6-0 vote

First Reading- Ordinance 14-2015 – An Ordinance authorizing all actions necessary to effect a Governmental Natural Gas Aggregation Program with opt-out provisions pursuant to Section 4929.26 Ohio Revised Code, directing the Delaware County Board of Elections to submit a ballot question to the electors. Council member Dalvi said that our minutes are well read by the community and this could come as a surprise to many so I would encourage that we talk about this through whatever means we can. Mayor Monahan said that we will get a summary on this from Jay and we can get something out in the newsletter. This would be a great thing to post on the bulletin board at the park. He said that we will also have Aspen Energy host a meeting for the residents before this goes to the ballot.

Bills

Council member Gauldin asked about the web pay set up for Mayor's Court and he was under the impression that we have had that set up for some time now. Chief LeFever said he does not want to speak on Mayor's Court behalf but I do know that it recently changed. That there was a new system that was going to have more flexibility, more payment ability that allows someone to get online and pay from one area. That's probably what that is.

It was moved by Callender, seconded by Gauldin to pay the bills in the amount of \$38,283.26. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote.

Treasurer’s Report

It was moved by Dalvi, seconded by Vidor to approve the following treasurer’s report: General Fund 293,590.91, Street Fund 60,261.85, State Highway Fund 9,201.86, PD Body Armor Fund 542.83, Drug Law Enforcement Fund 717.00, Indigent Drivers Interlock Fund 385.84, Enforcement and Education Fund 2,010.00, Mayor’s Court Computer Fund 6,668.13, Fire Fund 71,898.47, Weed Fund 7,063.75, TIF Fund 10,890.29, TIF 2 Fund 2,232.47, Veteran’s Memorial Fund 98.97, Capital Projects 9484 Dublin Road Fund 5,770.25, Sewer Operating Fund 44,355.31, Sewer Replacement Fund 166,000.00, Storm Sewer Fund 3,838.50, Enterprise Debt Service Fund 104,061.54 and Enterprise Debt Service Reserve Fund 99,897.16 for a total of \$889,485.13. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote

Adjournment

There being no further business, it was moved by Callender, seconded by McVan to adjourn until April 27, 2015. Following vote on the motion is recorded: yea, 6; Callender, Dalvi, Gauldin, Mathews, McVan and Vidor. Nay, none. Chair declared the motion passed by a 6-0 vote. Chair declared the meeting adjourned at 8:09 p.m.

Fiscal Officer

Mayor